

**DRAFT ONLY
NOT APPROVED FOR
INTRODUCTION**

HOUSE BILL NO.

Regulation of AI automated decision-making systems.

Sponsored by: Select Committee on Blockchain, Financial
Technology and Digital Innovation Technology

A BILL

for

1 AN ACT relating to the administration of the government;
2 regulating the use of artificial intelligence automated
3 decision-making systems by governmental entities; providing
4 definitions; specifying applicability; and providing for an
5 effective date.

6

7 *Be It Enacted by the Legislature of the State of Wyoming:*

8

9 **Section 1.** W.S. 9-30-101 and 9-30-102 are created to
10 read:

11

12

CHAPTER 30

1 REGULATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AUTOMATED DECISION-
2 MAKING SYSTEMS
3

4 **9-30-101. Definitions.**
5

6 (a) As used in this chapter:
7

8 (i) "Artificial intelligence system" means any
9 machine-based system that, for an explicit or implicit
10 objective, infers from the inputs the system receives how
11 to generate outputs, including content, decisions,
12 predictions or recommendations that can influence physical
13 or virtual environments;
14

15 *****
16 *****
17 **STAFF COMMENT**
18 **This definition of "artificial intelligence" is the same as**
19 **Colorado (Colo. Rev. Stat. 6-1-1701(2)) and Texas (Tex.**
20 **Bus. & Com. Code § 551.001(1)). Please advise if the Select**
21 **Committee would prefer a different definition.**
22 *****
23 *****
24

25 (ii) "Automated decision-making system" means any
26 software that uses algorithms, computational models or

1 artificial intelligence systems, or a combination thereof,
2 to autonomously make a consequential decision;

3
4 (iii) "Consequential decision" means a decision
5 or determination that results in the approval, denial,
6 determination of, eligibility for, selection for, access
7 to, modification, suspension or termination of a person's
8 rights, benefits or obligations provided by law;

9
10 *****
11 *****

12 **STAFF COMMENT**

13 The Select Committee may wish to consider the following:

- 14 • The current definition of consequential decision does
15 not include a list of covered decision types (e.g.
16 licensing, public benefits, employment, health care
17 decisions, parole etc). The Select Committee may wish
18 to consider whether to add a non-exhaustive list.
- 19 • The phrase "provided by law" would narrow the
20 definition to decisions affecting interests that are
21 created, defined or governed by law and may exclude
22 decisions made by a governmental entity that are not
23 expressly provided by law, including some competitive
24 grants or programs that are not grounded in the law.

25 *****
26 *****
27

28 (iv) "Governmental entity" means the state and
29 all its branches, agencies, departments, commissions,
30 institutions, instrumentalities and all political
31 subdivisions, including any county, city, town, school

1 district, special district, joint powers board, community
2 college and the University of Wyoming;

3
4 (v) "Meaningful human review" means an
5 independent review of an automated decision generated by an
6 automated decision-making system that is made by a natural
7 person who:

8
9 (A) Is employed by the governmental entity;

10
11 (B) Is trained in the use, risks and
12 limitations of the automated decision-making system;

13
14 (C) Has the authority to intervene or alter
15 the automated decision under review, including the
16 authority to approve, deny or modify any automated decision
17 made by the automated decision-making system;

18
19 (D) Exercises independent judgment and does
20 not solely rely on or defer to the outputs of the automated
21 decision-making system.

22
23 **9-30-102. Use of automated decision-making systems.**

1

2 (a) No governmental entity shall use an automated
3 decision-making system, whether developed internally or
4 procured from or operated by a third party, to make or
5 cause to be made a consequential decision without
6 meaningful human review.

7

8 (b) Each governmental entity shall maintain records
9 identifying the natural person who conducted and is
10 responsible for the meaningful human review required under
11 subsection (a) of this section for each consequential
12 decision made or caused to be made using an automated
13 decision-making system.

14

15 (c) Nothing in subsection (a) of this section shall be
16 construed to prohibit a governmental entity from using an
17 automated decision-making system, whether developed
18 internally or procured from or operated by a third party,
19 to support, assist or inform the governmental entity in
20 making a consequential decision as long as the
21 consequential decision is subject to meaningful human
22 review after the automated decision-making system is used.

23

STAFF COMMENT

The Select Committee may wish to consider the following:

- Whether to require a governmental entity to provide notice to a person when an automated decision-making system is used in connection with a consequential decision.
- Whether to allow a person subject to a consequential decision to request that the governmental entity provide a general explanation of the role an automated decision-making system plays in a consequential decision.
- Whether to require a governmental entity to maintain records of when automated decision-making systems are used, the level of human involvement, and what consequential decisions are affected.

Section 2. Nothing in this act shall be construed to modify or impair existing contracts or obligations regarding automated decision-making systems executed before July 1, 2027.

Section 3. This act is effective July 1, 2027.

(END)