### **Economic Impacts of Restricting Oil and Gas Development on Federal Lands**

November 18, 2020

Professor Timothy J. Considine School of Energy Resources University of Wyoming

### Introduction

- Recent calls to restrict oil and gas development on federal lands
- - **53.6%** of oil production and
  - ☑ 78.6% of gas production come from these federal lands
- A leasing moratorium or a drilling ban reduces
  - Investment in new oil and gas wells, and
  - C3 Results in lower future oil and gas production
- CR Lower investment & production reduces economic activity, employment, tax revenues
- Restricting federal oil and gas development forgoes significant economic opportunities

### RESULTS PRESENTED TODAY ARE PRELIMINARY

## Policies & Scenarios

## Policies & Scenarios

- Rederal land policies affect or spillover to private & state lands due to
  - Geral, tribal, private, and state lands interspersed in a checkerboard pattern
  - General regulations could apply to private and state lands subject to a communitization agreement\*
- R Future development depends largely upon price
- - 🧭 Leasing moratorium,
  - 🛯 Drilling ban, and
  - ☑ Drilling ban with 10% spillover to private & state land

4

## **Price Scenarios**



### Forecasts

#### Future Drilling Activity & Production



### Lost Oil & Gas Production



# **Economic Impacts**

Tax Revenues, Personal Income, and Employment

### Losses in Oil & Gas Tax Revenues

<u>U</u>

Total Losses in Wyoming Oil & Gas Tax Revenues, 2020 - 2040 \$35 \$30 \$30 \$26 \$24 \$25 **Billion 2019 dollars** \$21 \$19 \$20 \$17 \$17 \$15 \$13 \$11 \$10 \$5 \$0 Low Base High Leasing Moratorium Drilling Ban Drilling Ban with Spillovers

### Losses in Personal Income



\$50



### Changes in Employment

Losses in Average Annual Employment, 2020 - 2040 45,000 39,353 40,000 33,844 35,000 30,964 30,000 Number of Jobs 26,705 24,674 25,000 21,366 21,493 20,000 17,282 14,289 15,000 10,000 5,000 0 Low Base High

Leasing Moratorium Drilling Ban Drilling Ban with Spillovers

# Sensitivity Analysis

#### Cost of Using Federal Lands to Reduce Carbon Emissions

### **Estimating Economic Impacts**

- Limitations of input-output(IO) analysis
  - Assumes fixed prices
  - 🛚 No migration, adjustments
- R New econometric approach
  - 🛚 Uses historical panel data
  - Cos Estimate regressions
- - Figure on right is for base case scenario
  - Econometric multipliers much lower than IO multipliers
  - Not perfect but more widely accepted in economics
- Reference of the second second
  - unknown, difficult to project
  - O&G industry innovative

#### Comparison of Multipliers & Productivity Growth on Personal Income Impacts



Restricting Federal Oil & Gas Development is an Expensive Way to Cut Carbon Emissions

- - If these policies avoid carbon emissions
  - But emissions reductions don't exist if other regions offset Wyoming's lost oil and gas production
- Reven it one believed that emission reductions were possible
  - Cost Locking down oil and gas production on federal lands is an expensive way to reduce carbon emissions
  - In terms of lost value added, carbon abatement cost is \$50-\$100/ ton

## The Road Ahead

Economic Impacts for Other States

# Final Study

- A Data collection complete for seven other states is underway for: NM, CO, UT, ND, MT, CA, and AK
- Additional econometric analysis has been completed:
  - Economic multipliers using recent formulations in peer reviewed literature
  - Estimate well completion & drilling functions using pooled data
- Running models now for other states