

# SUMMARY *of* PROCEEDINGS



## JOINT CORPORATIONS, ELECTIONS & POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS COMMITTEE

---

### COMMITTEE MEETING INFORMATION

June 28 & 29, 2012  
Central Wyoming College  
Riverton, Wyoming

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT

Senator Cale Case, Cochairman  
Representative Pete Illoway, Cochairman  
Senator John Hines  
Senator Wayne Johnson  
Senator Charlie Scott  
Representative Gregg Blikre  
Representative Jim Byrd  
Representative Allen Jaggi  
Representative Kendell Kroeker  
Representative John Patton  
Representative Jim Roscoe  
Representative Tim Stubson  
Representative Dan Zwonitzer

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOT PRESENT

Senator Marty Martin

### LEGISLATIVE SERVICE OFFICE STAFF

Lynda Cook, Staff Attorney  
Kelly Shepp, Research Analyst

### OTHERS PRESENT AT MEETING

Senator Eli Bebout

Please refer to Appendix 1 to review the Subcommittee Sign-in Sheet  
for a list of other individuals who attended the meeting.

*The Committee Meeting Summary of Proceedings (meeting minutes) is prepared by the Legislative Service Office (LSO) and is the official record of the proceedings of a legislative committee meeting. This document does not represent a transcript of the meeting; it is a digest of the meeting and provides a record of official actions taken by the Committee. All meeting materials and handouts provided to the Committee by the Legislative Service Office, public officials, lobbyists, and the public are on file at the Legislative Service Office and are part of the official record of the meeting. An index of these materials is provided at the end of this document and these materials are on file at the Legislative Service Office. For more information or to review meeting materials, please contact the Legislative Service Office at (307) 777-7881 or by e-mail at [lso@wyoleg.gov](mailto:lso@wyoleg.gov). The Summary of Proceedings for each legislative committee meeting can be found on the Wyoming Legislature's website at <http://legisweb.state.wy.us>.*

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The committee met in Riverton and discussed telecommunications, insurance, low voltage electrical licensing, regulation of appraisal management companies, terms of filing for effective financing statements and legal advertising and publication requirements for local governments.

## **CALL TO ORDER**

Co-Chairman Pete Illoway called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. The following sections summarize the Committee proceedings by topic. Please refer to Appendix 2 to review the Committee Meeting Agenda.

## **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Minutes from the April, 2012 Committee meeting were approved.

## **PUBLIC UTILITIES**

### ***Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP)***

13 LSO 0020.W1 - Telecommunications – internet protocol enabled services. (Appendix 3).

Liz Zerga, Range Companies, Dave Picard, AT&T, Kristin Lee, CenturyLink, Jody Levin, Verizon and Cheryl Riley, AT&T testified. Jody Levin presented the bill. The bill attempts to exempt internet protocol enabled services from regulation as telecommunication services. Ms. Levin noted that the various telecommunications companies are negotiating in order to come up with a definition of IP services that works for everyone. The group is looking at what other states are doing and seeing how it could work for Wyoming. They asked for more time to develop the definition and therefore do not wish to move the current bill forward at this time.

Senator Case noted that the services they are trying to get at are obviously competitive and should not be regulated and he is glad the stakeholders are working together to solve the issue. He also noted that the sunset on the overall telecommunications act is coming in two years and the committee will have to closely follow what is going on at the federal level.

Dale Cottam, representing AARP, testified that they have concerns about the bill and they appreciate that the working group is looking for a Wyoming solution. The AARP would like to be part of the working group as well.

Al Minier, PSC Chairman, testified that they will also be looking at the issue. He noted that the satellite internet providers need to be involved too.

The committee discussed issues with latency delays on satellite service but took no action on the bill at this time.

### ***13 LSO 0022.W1 – Office of the consumer advocate-sunset. (Appendix 4).***

Bryce Freeman and Denise Parish, Office of Consumer Advocate, testified in support of the bill. The office was created in 2003 to give consumers an independent voice in public utility matters before the PSC. Mr. Freeman provided a fact sheet showing some of the cases the office has been involved in.

(Appendix 5). The office currently has 6 employees and sometimes they will contract for outside assistance.

Representative Jaggi questioned why the office is disproportionately involved with cases involving one company. He noted that the office has done a good job.

Rep. Stubson questioned how the office decides what cases to become involved in since they are statutorily tasked with representing all types of rate customers. Mr. Freeman noted that other offices in other states have similar tasks. This office's philosophy is to look at the cost of service so no class is paying more or less than they should.

Senator Case discussed stipulated settlements. He expressed concern that the employees of the OCA worked for the PSC prior to working at the OCA and therefore were involved in the development of rates that are being questioned in cases. He also expressed concern that there is no oversight of the decisions made by the OCA with respect to stipulations made in cases. Before the OCA existed, the experts worked for the PSC. He suggested that the committee should look at more than just extending the sunset date, but rather should look at the structure of the OCA and whether there should be senate confirmation of the director. Rep. Byrd noted that the current oversight is the executive branch since the Governor appoints the director.

Sen. Scott noted that the OCA looks at rate cases in all the areas regulated by the PSC. He noted that there were many more problems with rate structure prior to the enactment of the OCA. He suggested that the bill should put a limited term for the director with senate confirmation so that the structure of the OCA could be addressed in amendments on the floor.

Rep. Patton suggested that rather than deal with the structure of the OCA through this bill, the office should be examined by the Management Audit team so the legislature has adequate information to consider.

Shawn Reese, Governor Mead's policy analyst, testified that the governor would like to see the sunset extended and is open to looking at the structure. They are considering whether it makes sense to place the office within the attorney general's office.

Al Minier agreed that the PSC does not have oversight of the OCA.

Dale Cottam, representing AARP, expressed strong support for the OCA. He noted that rate cases are very complicated and private citizens don't have the resources to intervene. He also supports the current structure of the OCA.

Matt Grant, Rocky Mountain Power, expressed support for the OCA. He further described Rocky Mountain Powers interstate protocol.

The committee laid the bill back until the October meeting.

***Certified service territories for electrical utilities.***

Shawn Taylor and Dave Uchner, representing Wyoming Rural Electric Association, testified. (Appendix 6). Certificated service territories delineate where a utility may serve. Normally it provides a level of certainty for where a rural cooperative may grow. However, there are instances, due to growth of a city or town, where the certificated territory is taken away. When the town grows and annexes, the cooperative must apply for a franchise from the city. If they are denied, the area is turned over to the municipal utility. Mr. Taylor testified that the REAs and Municipal utilities generally work well together in the transition. However, sometimes they don't. He described an area near Cheyenne where the rural electric lost the area and later the area was subdivided into 100 homes that would have been well served by the REA.

Mr. Taylor suggested legislation that when an annexation occurs where there is an incumbent municipal utility serving, the cooperative utility would continue to serve if they meet the standards of the municipality. Hearings should be under the APA. Transition should be noticed 120 days before the annexation occurs. If the certificate is denied, damages should include future growth losses and appraised value. Boundary changes and damages should be decided before the transition. Mr. Taylor suggested that the new legislation should only apply to new franchise requests that are contested. Renewals would not be subject to APA.

Dave Uchner testified that what they are trying to accomplish is not to change the constitutional requirements. They just want the procedures to be set in statute and be fair to all parties.

Senator Scott suggested that he does not believe the legislature may limit the municipal authority in dispensing franchises. He thinks they could get involved in notification and damages. Mr. Uchner suggested that they could set the procedures by which the franchise is granted.

Rep. Stubson asked how damages have been considered in previous cases. Mr. Taylor stated that future growth damages haven't been granted. Rep. Jaggi suggested that the current state of affairs is unfair. Mr. Taylor suggested that forcing the continuation of the service by the REA would likely be unconstitutional.

Bob Tarantola, Bruce Asay and Mark Stage, representing municipal utilities, provided case law and legislative history of this topic. (Appendix 7). They argued that the process is already in place for these hearings. Mr. Tarantola noted that the Wyoming Supreme Court has held that cities are known to expand and therefore REAs are on notice that they will lose those areas. He also noted that there are statutes that provide for notice to the REAs. The statutes reflect compromise. The statutes already provided for damages and already allow the PSC to arbitrate those damages.

Bruce Asay, Montana-Dakota Utilities, testified in support of the current laws. The current laws provide flexibility. He noted that a hot topic in Cheyenne today should not be used to change laws that are working well everywhere else. As to whether cities should use the APA, that is not required now, but they do have hearing procedures.

Rep. Byrd suggested that under current circumstances there is no reason an REA would want to serve anyone within a 10 mile radius of a city.

Sen. Hines suggested that just compensation needs to be explained better so the committee could know what the real problem is.

Mark Harris, Wyoming Association of Municipalities, noted that there are notice requirements before annexation in Title 15. He testified that the discussion today affects both cities that have investor owned utilities and municipal owned utilities. He stated that the current process is clear enough. If there is a desire to look at the annexation statutes, they will be involved, but they believe the constitution is clear and the current process is good. He also suggested that if the municipality makes a decision that results in higher rates for the electorate, they can and will vote the members of the governing body out of office. The committee discussed the factual issues considered by the local governments in deciding whether to grant the franchise.

The committee took no action at this time.

## **DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE**

### ***13 LSO 0019.W2 – Insurance holding company system regulatory act. (Appendix 8)***

Tom Hirsig, Insurance Commissioner, introduced Linda Johnson, Chief Examiner, who provided proposed legislation for the department to maintain accreditation from the NAIC bill and to comply with the Dodd-Frank Act. Linda Johnson explained the bill. This law allows the department to review transactions of holding companies to ensure that assets are not diverted to affiliates. The bill creates supervisory colleges to oversee risks. The bill regulates holding company divestitures, acquisitions, distribution of dividends and disclaimers of affiliation. The bill also addresses confidentiality of information provided in holding company reviews.

Page 9 lines 1 through 16 raised some issues with the committee. Issues include whether this section provides impediments to normal divestiture and whether there are any standards for when the commissioner may require a filing for a divestiture.

Katherine Wilkinson, representing property and casualty insurers, testified in support of the bill.

Dave Uchner testified in support of the bill but asked for some additional language from the model act that the bill did not include.

The bill was moved and seconded. The insurance department and Mr. Uchner brought additional amendments (reflected in Appendix 8) to clean up language in the bill.

The bill passed as amended unanimously.

### ***13 LSO 0018.W1 – Insurance-unfair methods and practices. (Appendix 9)***

Jim Mitchell, Insurance department counsel, explained the bill. The bill deals with instances where certificates of insurance are requested to prove insurance. The department attempts to prevent outside parties from attempting to assert that the certificate of insurance changes the terms of the insurance or misrepresenting through the certificate the terms of the insurance. The bill does so by amending the unfair practices and deceptive acts provisions of the insurance code to include this practice.

Dave Picard, representing Allstate and Blue Cross, expressed concern over opening up the unfair practices act, but testified that they are not opposed to the bill. He suggested that the language on Page 2-

line 18 should be limited to requiring rather than requesting, demanding or requiring. This would clarify that the unfair act is an act of a third party.

Cindy Pomeroy, Mountain West Farm Bureau, testified that they are comforted by the explanation by the Commissioner that the amendments to 26-13-103 are intended to apply only to third parties.

Katherine Wilkinson testified in agreement with Dave Picard.

Senator Scott suggested that a different approach, limiting the use of certificates of insurance, would be a better approach. The Idaho approach is to provide that a certificate of insurance is not a policy of insurance and does not extend a policy of insurance. If an insurance agent issues a certificate that is misleading they can be subject to discipline by the department.

The committee asked LSO to draft a separate bill that follows the Idaho approach, including an unfair practice provision and to bring 13 LSO 18 back with just the changes to 26-13-102

### ***13 LSO 0017.W1 – Insurance-limited lines (Appendix 10).***

Stephanie McGee, Deputy Insurance Commissioner, explained the bill. The idea was raised at the last meeting to include portable electronic device insurance within the limited lines types of insurance. This bill recodifies the other types of limited lines insurance and includes portable electronic devices insurance within the recodification. The bill sets forth the rights of consumers under these types of insurance products. The bill allows for endorsees that do not have to be licensed if they do not receive a commission but receive training from the employer that is licensed. These products can also be sold by sales people who receive commissions but they have to be individually licensed.

Dave Picard, representing Assurian and Enterprise Rental Car, testified that the insurers want to be regulated to have certainty in the industry. They want to be regulated under a separate chapter because the product is unique. He explained the claims process. With respect to rental car insurance providers, they do not like this bill because of the commission limitation. He also expressed concern that defining endorsees as employees affects the different types of insurance product providers differently. Essentially, his electronic device client wants a bill and his rental car policy client does not want to be brought into the bill.

Katherine Wilkinson, representing property and casualty insurance association, reiterated the concerns of Mr. Picard.

Commissioner Hirsig expressed concern about creating a new chapter for each new insurance product.

The committee laid the bill back and told the parties to get together and come to agreement.

## **LOW VOLTAGE ELECTRICAL LICENSING**

LSO research staff prepared a memo comparing other states' regulation (Appendix 11).

Brian Stutzman provided written testimony describing his concerns with low voltage licensing. (Appendix 12). He testified that the licensing requirement is just job protectionism. He asked the committee to bring a bill that states that nothing in the statutes applies to installation of low voltage.



Maureen Bader, Wyoming Liberty Group, provided written testimony in opposition to low voltage licensing. (Appendix 13). She argued that regulation hinders growth because it acts as a barrier to entrepreneurship. Arbitrary licensure requirements should all be removed. She argued that safety is not an issue with low voltage licensing. The fact that many exemptions exist prove that the statute is arbitrary.

Patrick Carlson, National Electrical Contractors Association, testified that his members believe the statutes should remain as they are.

Lanny Applegate, State Fire Marshall, introduced Bruce Trembath, the new Electrical Chief. Mr. Applegate testified that while certain persons are exempt from licensing, they still have to wire to code. (Appendix 14). The committee asked for information and anecdotal evidence instances of fires caused by low voltage installations. The question of whether the test could be offered online was raised and Mr. Applegate suggested that there is no way to ensure that the person taking the test is the person seeking licensure. Mr. Trembath explained the fee structure for licensing. He will make a report available to the committee that will be available to the public at the LSO office.

The committee directed LSO to draft a bill expanding the exemption for all low voltage communications installations.

The committee recessed at 6:30 p.m.

The committee reconvened at 8:30 a.m. June 29, 2012 with Co-Chairman Case presiding.

## **APPRAISAL MANAGEMENT COMPANIES.**

Laurie Urbigkit, Wyo. Association of Realtors, and Trenton Hogg, Real Estate Board Director, presented an updated version of 2012 House Bill 86. (Appendix 15). Under the Dodd-Frank Act, states are required to put into place regulation of appraisal management companies. The federal law requires that banks work through a middle man to obtain an appraisal. This is how appraisal management companies were created.

The committee discussed the change to the membership on the board. The bill takes away the banker member of the board and places an appraisal management company person on the board. The committee discussed having both members on the board. Mike Geesey, Bankers Association, testified that a banker should remain on the board. Craig Steinley, appraiser, testified in support of maintaining the current membership of the board with a banker on the board. (Appendix 16). He noted that AMCs are not local companies, they are large national companies. David Cherner, Rels Valuation, testified that they believe a representative from an appraisal management company needs to be on the board. Mr. Hogg explained the various other responsibilities of the appraisal board. He noted that the board will continue to regulate appraisers, this bill gives them additional responsibilities. Senator Scott noted that there is nothing in Dodd-Frank that requires a change in the board. Lonnie Hillyar, appraisal board, testified against increasing the membership of the board. She noted that many states do not put an AMC on the board. There was discussion about the ability to bring an action against the bond. The committee debated the amount of the bond required and the claims that may be brought against the bond.

Page 13 – lines 4 through 18 talks about a department within a bank. The language came from the federal register. Ms. Urbigkit explained that they will continue to try to rework the paragraph to make it more understandable.

The committee asked Mr. Hogg if he is happy with the bill as it stands with the amendments proposed. Mr. Hogg stated that he is happy with it but he will present it to his board at their August meeting. There was discussion about the provisions for guarantee of payment in new W.S. 33-39-222. Ms. Urbigkit stated that this provision is not required under Dodd-Frank act. Mr. Steinley testified that they would prefer a shorter time period for guarantee of payment (30 days). They would also like to see a higher fine of \$25000 in statute (currently \$2500).

Lonnie Hillyar, Appraisal Board member, testified that the bill is important to the state because of the federal mandate. She argued that the timely payment guarantee is important to the appraisers of this state.

The committee voted to leave the banker on the board and add an extra member who is an AMC. The effective date was changed to April 1, 2014. The committee voted to delete the guarantee of payment section. The committee adopted other amendments as reflected in Appendix 15.

Senator Scott asked for the bill to provide for the suspension of registration requirements until May 1 upon repeal of federal law and to have the director to notify the legislature if federal law changes.

The committee then moved to lay the bill back until the next meeting. Senator Hines asked for a white paper explaining exactly what in the bill is required by federal law.

## **LEGAL ADVERTISING AND PUBLICATION REQUIREMENTS FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.**

George Parks and Mark Harris, Wyoming Association of Municipalities, testified about the topic. (Appendix 17). They have divided the discussion into three parts. What is required to be published, where is it required to be published and how often is it required to be published.

Mr. Harris testified that this is a timely topic given the technologies that have been developed since the current statutes were put in place. He noted that the requirements for cities and towns are different from counties and special districts. Regarding where something is supposed to be published, the committee must consider newspapers, radio, television and internet, but also, if online, whether the site is a publicly owned site or a privately owned site. WAM also attempted to address the overall costs to cities and towns for publication requirements.

Mr. Harris noted that the scope of this topic is very broad and will likely take more than one interim to fully address. He suggested that the stakeholders should get together as a working group and see what areas they can agree on. Mr. Harris noted that they do not advocate moving to online publication alone. How do they reach more people more efficiently is the goal.

The committee discussed how no cities have more than one local newspaper.

Tom Hirsig, Insurance Commissioner, provided a list of the items the insurance department is required to publish. (Appendix 18). It includes summary financial statements of insurance businesses licensed in Wyoming. The cost is paid by the licenses, but the licensees have no control of the negotiations for the price of the publications. He also noted that the financials are already published at the NAIC website. The committee asked for further information on when the other 44 states stopped requiring this type of publication.



Cindy DeLancey, County Commissioners Association, testified with Ron Harvey and John Gibbs, County Commissioners. Ms. DeLancey provided a powerpoint presentation about their costs. (Appendix 19). The presentation showed where broadband internet service is available in Wyoming. Her presentation noted that Wyoming households exceed the national average with 73% possessing broadband access. Ms. DeLancey noted that there is a website called Wyomingpublicnotices.com. The presentation provided several examples of statutes requiring publication from county governments. The average Wyoming County legal public notice expenditure is nearly \$35,000.

Mr. Harvey discussed a working group he worked on to try to develop legislation for the Joint Judiciary Committee regarding public meetings. The group included counties, cities, special districts, media representatives and others. The working group proposed two pieces of legislation regarding open meetings and open records that passed in the last legislative session. Mr. Harvey suggested they could develop a working group for this topic as well.

Mr. Gibbs testified in support of the concept of a working group. But he noted it would not be quick or easy. He noted that this one might be tougher because there is money involved.

Bob Bonner and Jim Angel, Wyoming Press Association, testified. (Appendix 20). Mr. Bonner suggested that the committee not take up the issue at this time because the system is not broken. He testified that the website mentioned above is a creation of the Wyoming Press Association. He suggested that the price of publication in Wyoming is very reasonable. He suggested that legal notices get lost online due to the volume of information on the internet. A survey by an independent third party showed readership of public notices is 25-30%. The committee queried whether it has been studied what types of public notices are being read. Mr. Bonner agreed that it would be acceptable to look at individual statutes as to whether they are appropriate in this day and age.

Mr. Angel testified that they have worked with WAM to decrease expenses. They supported the increase in amount for RFPs necessary for publication. They supported a change in law that lowered the requirements for small towns. Mr. Bonner then agreed that the working group created for the open meetings laws was very useful and brought together many stakeholders.

The committee discussed the problems in big city newspapers. Mr. Angel testified that the small town newspapers are thriving in this state. There was a discussion about the discrepancy in rates between newspapers. Mr. Bonner suggested that they are limited by the market. What the local grocery store is willing to pay is the price the government is required to pay. Mr. Angell argued that newspapers provide a lasting physical proof of the operation of government and they prove that the item was noticed in the proper manner and the proper date.

Jason Kintzler, online news outlet owner, testified that newspapers are not an arm of the government and therefore have no responsibilities to the general public. While people used to get their information from newspapers, it is just not that way anymore. Advertising revenues in newspapers is at an all-time low. He testified that in the last six months his online paper has grown to 100,000 unique readers. Compare that to the circulation of 23,000 of the Casper Star Tribune. He suggested that the key change that could be made to statutes is to change the term "newspaper" to something that reflects the way people get their news today. Finally, he argued that newspapers are not free to consumers and are not searchable. Online sources are free to consumers and are searchable. Mr. Kintzler agreed to work with the working group.

Julie Freese, Fremont County clerk, testified that the county clerks are interested in working on the working group. The association recently did a survey about county commission minutes and most

counties are only putting motions and actions in the publication. In order to save money, governments are putting information in notices in such manner that they are practically unreadable. She noted that the SOS has to post constitutional amendments in every newspaper and each clerk has to do it in the local paper as well. There are areas where duplication like this can be eliminated.

Dale Boren, Casper Journal and Casper Star, testified in support of traditional newspapers. Senator Scott suggested that a person who pays to print a legal notice in a newspaper gets that notice posted on the newspaper's online version and it gets posted on the Press Association's website as well. Mr. Boren suggested that the committee does need to take a good hard look at what is required to be published and how often.

Several other individuals provided written testimony for the record. (Appendix 21).

The committee asked the interested parties to get together and bring the committee some ideas for low hanging fruit and an outline of how to approach the topic in the next interim. The chairmen thanked LSO research staff for her hard work looking at this issue. (Appendix 22)

## **SECRETARY OF STATE'S OFFICE**

### ***Uniform Commercial Code amendments.***

Pat Arp and Jerry Melsness, Secretary of State's Office and Vicci Colgan, Deputy State Auditor, explained to the committee that they need to bring a bill to the committee in October to update the Uniform Commercial Code. (Appendix 23). Dr. Arp explained what the UCC is used for and noted that it has not been updated for 11 years. The Uniform Law Revision committee has a few updates that need to be included. Twenty-one states have already adopted the updates and 15 other states have a bill under consideration. The bill will have minimal impact on county clerks, but they are coordinating with them as well as the bankers association.

Ms. Colgan explained the role of the Uniform Law Commission, noting that Wyoming has three members.

Mike Geesey, Wyoming Bankers Association, testified in support of this effort.

## **OTHER BUSINESS**

### ***Supreme Court ruling on the Affordable Care Act***

Regina Meena, Wyoming Liberty Group, testified about the Supreme Court ruling on the ACA. (Appendix 24). The opinion upheld the ACA under the taxing authority of the Congress. Nobody has to buy insurance, but if they don't they must pay a tax. The only way to make insurance affordable is to have a nationwide pool, such as with car insurance. Ms. Meena argued that the legislature needs to minimize regulation and allow for sale of out of state policies.

### ***Redistricting Update***

The committee received a memo updating the committee on the redistricting lawsuit. (Appendix 25).

Senator Scott asked the Secretary of State's office to send out a memo to candidates regarding what the state of the law is on campaign finance given the recent Supreme Court ruling on the Montana case.

### **MEETING ADJOURNMENT**

The next meeting (budget cut hearing) was scheduled for August 9 & 10 in Cheyenne. The final regular meeting will be held October 23 & 24 in Cheyenne.

There being no further business, Co-Chairman Cale Case adjourned the meeting at 4:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Cale Case, Co-Chairman

Pete Illoway, Co-Chairman

# Committee Meeting Materials Index

Appendix	Appendix Item	Appendix Description	Appendix Provider
1	Committee Sign-In Sheet	Lists meeting attendees	Legislative Service Office
2	Committee Meeting Agenda	Provides an outline of the topics the Committee planned to address at meeting	Legislative Service Office
3	Public Utilities	13 LSO 0020.W1 – Telecommunications-internet protocol enabled services	Legislative Service Office
4	Public Utilities	13 LSO 0022.W1 – Office of Consumer Advocate-sunset.	Legislative Service Office
5	Public Utilities	Office of Consumer Advocate Fact Sheet	Office of Consumer Advocate
6	Public Utilities	Written testimony	Wyoming Rural Electric Association
7	Public Utilities	Written testimony	Black Hills Corporation
8	Insurance	13 LSO 0019.W2-Insurance Holding Company system regulatory act (as amended).	Legislative Service Office
9	Insurance	13 LSO 0018.W1 – Insurance-unfair methods and practices	Legislative Service Office
10	Insurance	13 LSO 0017.W1 – Insurance-limited lines.	Legislative Service Office
11	Low Voltage Electrical	12 RM 016 – Low Voltage Electrical Licensing	Legislative Service Office
12	Low Voltage Electrical	Written testimony	Brian Stutzman
13	Low Voltage Electrical	Written testimony	Wyoming Liberty Group
14	Low Voltage Electrical	Written testimony	Department of Fire Prevention
15	Appraisal Management	13 LSO 0003.W2 – Appraisal management company regulation.	Legislative Service Office
16	Appraisal Management	Written testimony	Steinley Real Estate

JOINT CORPORATIONS, ELECTIONS, & POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS COMMITTEE *Summary of Proceedings*

17	Legal Publications	Written testimony	Wyoming Association of Municipalities
18	Legal Publications	Written testimony	Department of Insurance
19	Legal Publications	PowerPoint presentation	Wyoming County Commissioner's Association
20	Legal Publications	Written testimony	Wyoming Press Association
21	Legal Publications	Written testimony	Various citizens
22	Legal Publications	12 RM 014 – Publication of Notices and Ordinances	Legislative Service Office
23	Uniform Commercial Code	Written testimony	Secretary of State's Office
24	Affordable Care Act	Written testimony	Wyoming Liberty Group
25	Redistricting	Update on Redistricting lawsuit	Legislative Service Office